

ETA-Danmark A/S Göteborg Plads 1 DK-2150 Nordhavn Tel. +45 72 24 59 00 Fax +45 72 24 59 04 Internet www.etadanmark.dk Authorised and notified according to Article 29 of the Regulation (EU) No 305/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 9 March 2011



### European Technical Assessment ETA-17/0330 of 16/05/2017

I General Part

Technical Assessment Body issuing the ETA and designated according to Article 29 of the Regulation (EU) No 305/2011: ETA-Danmark A/S

Trade name of the construction product:

Hi-Con Balcony elements

Product family to which the above construction product belongs:

Precast balcony elements made from ultra-high performance fibre reinforced concrete

Manufacturer: Hi-Con A/S

Hjallerup Erhvervspark 1

DK-9320 Hjallerup

www.hicon.dk

Manufacturing plant: Hi-Con A/S

Hjallerup Erhvervspark 1

DK-9320 Hjallerup

This European Technical Assessment contains:

13 pages including 4 annexes which form an integral

part of the document

This European Technical Assessment is issued in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 305/2011, on the basis of: EAD 010003-00-0301 Precast balcony elements made from ultra-high performance fibre reinforced concrete, edition May 2016

This version replaces:

Translations of this European Technical Assessment in other languages shall fully correspond to the original issued document and should be identified as such.

Communication of this European Technical Assessment, including transmission by electronic means, shall be in full (excepted the confidential Annex(es) referred to above). However, partial reproduction may be made, with the written consent of the issuing Technical Assessment Body. Any partial reproduction has to be identified as such.

### II SPECIFIC PART OF THE EURO-PEAN TECHNICAL ASSESSMENT

# 1 Technical description of product and intended use

### **Technical description of the product**

Hi-Con Balcony elements are precast balcony elements made from ultra-high performance fibre reinforced concrete (UHPFRC).

The precast UHPFRC balcony elements are made of variable size, shape, and design. The elements are cast in one operation and cured at the precast factory before they are transported to the building site and installed.

Common for all balcony types covered are:

- Constituent materials are generally according to EN 206 and especially the cement part is according to EN 197-1.
- They all consist of fibre reinforced concrete with a compressive strength above 110 MPa, which is outside the scope of EN 1992-1-1 (EC2).
- They are designed according to the principles of EC2, but with a few deviations based on UHPFRC material design. Examples of these deviations, made possible by the increased ductility of the UHPFRC compared to traditional concrete, are higher compressive design strength, lower rebar cover, shorter anchorage length and higher tensile strength. The specific composition of the concrete is deposited with ETA-Danmark A/S.
- The precast UHPFRC balcony elements are reinforced with conventional reinforcement mesh typically placed in two layers. Reinforcement shall be class B500 as minimum and comply with EN 10080 as well as EC2.
- Furthermore, the balcony elements are reinforced with steel fibres. The steel fibres provide ductility, anchorage capacity and improved cracking performance to the material. The steel fibres do not constitute structural reinforcement.
- Steel fibres comply with EN 14889-1. They may be stainless or carbon steel with a minimum tensile strength of 1200 MPa, a maximum length of 30 mm and a fibre diameter up to 0.4 mm.
- The precast UHPFRC balcony elements may contain structural ribs and/or integrated beams with localised reinforcement.
- The sizes of the precast elements are normally governed by the lifting and transport capacity during installation. There is no limit to the size from a structural point of view.

All brackets, fastenings, bannisters etc. are designed, produced and installed according to relevant standards (e.g. steel, aluminium, glass. etc.) and are not covered by

this ETA. Anchorage of the precast balcony unit into the building facade, is designed according to principles in EC2 taking into account the provisions applying at place of use. The design for avoidance of thermal bridge between the precast element and the building is not covered by the ETA.

The design loads and the safety factors are provided in Eurocodes 0 and 1. The designer responsible for the structural design shall be experienced with this design basis.

The design of the fastenings between the product and the building façade shall be carried out according to the relevant Eurocodes, harmonized product standards, ETA's, etc. taking into account the provisions applying at place of use. This also applies for any support columns including foundations. These elements are not included in this ETA.

The deviations from EC2 design principles and those valid for precast UHPFRC balcony elements are specified in annex A of this ETA.

Manufacturing of precast balcony elements shall be in accordance with EN 14650 and EN 13369 or similar standard – with the deviations specifically mentioned in this ETA.

### Range

See annex B for examples of sizes, geometry and dimensions.

# 2 Specification of the intended use in accordance with the applicable EAD

The precast UHPFRC balcony element is used as outdoor balconies for houses subject to outdoor exposures. Environmental exposure classes given in EN 206 may be used to classify the exposure conditions. As minimum the exposure classes XC4 shall be applied.

The product is only intended to be used subjected to static or quasi-static load actions as cantilevered or simply supported exterior balconies. The product shall not be subjected to fatigue loading.

The provisions made in this European Technical Assessment are based on an assumed intended working life of the elements of 50 years.

The indications given on the working life cannot be interpreted as a guarantee given by the producer or Assessment Body, but are to be regarded only as a means for choosing the right products in relation to the expected economically reasonable working life of the works.

### 3 Performance of the product and references to the methods used for its assessment

Characteristic	Assessment of characteristic
3.1 Mechanical resistance and stability (BWR1)	
<ul> <li>2.2.1 Compressive strength</li> <li>2.2.2 Compressive stress-strain curve</li> <li>2.2.3 E-modulus</li> <li>2.2.4 Tensile and bending strength (3-point bending curve)</li> <li>2.2.5 Uniaxial tensile strength</li> </ul>	Minimum 110 MPa* At least 80% of the value of the compressive strength at 4 per mille Minimum 42 GPa Minimum class 5b Minimum 5 MPa
<ul> <li>2.2.6 Rebar anchorage length</li> <li>2.2.7 Creep and shrinkage behaviour</li> <li>2.2.8 Freeze/thaw resistance</li> <li>2.2.9 Chloride ingress (on cracked beams)</li> <li>2.2.10 Carbonation depth</li> <li>2.2.11 Fibre distribution</li> </ul>	Formulas in annex C Curves in annex D m <sub>56</sub> <10 g/m <sup>2</sup> RDM>95% < 5 x 10 <sup>-14</sup> m <sup>2</sup> /s Below 1 mm after 2 years Minimum 5 MPa
<ul><li>3.2 Safety in case of fire (BWR2)</li><li>2.2.12 Reaction to fire</li><li>2.2.13 Resistance to fire</li><li>2.2.14 Risk of explosive spalling</li></ul>	A1 NPA NPA

<sup>\*150</sup>x300 mm cylinders

### 3.10 Aspects related to the performance of the product

The European Technical Assessment is issued for the product on the basis of agreed data/information, deposited with ETA-Danmark, which identifies the product that has been assessed and judged. Changes to the product or production process, which could result in this deposited data/information being incorrect, should be notified to ETA-Danmark before the changes are introduced. ETA-Danmark will decide whether or not such changes affect the ETA and consequently the validity of the CE marking on the basis of the ETA and if so whether further assessment or alterations to the ETA, shall be necessary.

The supplementing statements of the manufacturer stated in the MTD for design and installation of the balcony elements shall be considered.

The performance of the balcony element can be assumed only, if the following aspects are considered:

- The declared performance for the rebar anchorage only applies when the boundary conditions for the formula are fulfilled, see annex C,
- The fibre index is higher or equal to 0.6.

It is the manufacturer's responsibility to make sure that all those who utilize the balcony element will be appropriately informed about the specific conditions according to this ETA and the not confidential parts of the MTD deposited to this ETA.

# 4 Attestation and verification of constancy of performance (AVCP)

### 4.1 AVCP system

According to the decision 99/94/EC of the European Commission1, as amended, the system(s) of assessment and verification of constancy of performance (see Annex V to Regulation (EU) No 305/2011) is 2+.

# 5 Technical details necessary for the implementation of the AVCP system, as foreseen in the applicable EAD

Technical details necessary for the implementation of the AVCP system are laid down in the control plan deposited at ETA-Danmark prior to CE marking.

Issued in Copenhagen on 2017-05-16 by

Thomas Bruun Managing Director, ETA-Danmark

## Annex A Design principles - deviations from EC2.

The design principles for Hi-Con balconies are based on the EC2 approach but with a number of deviations. Some deviations are trivial, but the most significant ones are mentioned in the present annex.

### Concrete compressive strength:

A characteristic strength of 110 MPa is used. With a material safety factor of 1.4 this gives a design strength of 78.5 MPa.

#### Compressive strength – reduction factor:

Based on the ductility demonstrated by the UHPFRC used by Hi-Con (described in the evaluation document) it has been decided to deviate from the reduction factor  $\eta$ , described in section 3.1.7 in EC2. This factor is used to account for the increased brittleness of concrete at high strength compared to conventional concrete at 50 MPa levels and as demonstrated in the document, the Hi-Con UHPFRC has a much higher ductility. The value of  $\eta$  is taken as 1.0.

#### Anchorage:

The formula used for estimate of bond has been given in this ETA. In the evaluation report is also listed some of the test results that provide the basis for this formula as well as the additional tests that have been carried out over the years to further validate the use of a shorter development length in Hi-Con balconies than would be allowed according to EC2.

#### Cover to the reinforcement:

A minimum cover to the reinforcement of 10 mm is required. However, generally a cover to the reinforcement of 15 mm is used even in aggressive environment. To avoid reinforcement corrosion, it is necessary to have a very dense concrete, and this is documented in the evaluation report, where it is demonstrated that both carbonation and chloride intrusion is suitably slow – also in the loaded state. With slender structures with a comparatively high live load where the structure is exposed to significant bending tension, it is documented that the fibres provide effective crack control and that micro cracks at these levels do not affect carbonation and chloride intrusion.

#### **Deformations:**

When calculating deformations, the cracking strength of the Hi-Con UHPFRC is taken as 5 MPa. This has been validated by model tests as well as full scale tests, where the measured deflections have been compared against the calculated deflections.

### Annex B – Examples of Hi-Con balcony types

A wide range of balcony types are produced by Hi-Con and the common thread is that the same material composition is used for all of them – as well as the same calculation principles. A few examples of balconies are shown in figs. 1-3.



Figure 1: Cantilevered balcony elements ready for installation. The cantilevered flaps are cast monolithically as part of the element for attachment to the building façade.



Figure 2: Cantilevered balcony element placed on corbels in the bottom of the deck and with bolted connections transferring tensile forces into the façade from the top of the balcony upstands.




Fig. 3: a) Balcony elements partly supported by columns. b) The outer edge of the balcony element contains an integrated beam/rib.

While the balconies in fig. 3 are relatively straightforward regarding support conditions the typical connection details for figs. 1 and 2 have been shown in figs. 4 and 5. Either the type shown in fig. 5 can be with a concrete upstand, that transfers the tensile forces to the connection or a strut can be used. Alternatively, both types can be combined with a concrete upstand in one side and a strut in the other side as shown in fig. 6. It is possible to design this, so that the concrete upstand can support the full

balcony in a fire situation, in which case the strut does not have to be protected against fire – it is merely in place to make sure that the deformations remain acceptable under service loads and that there are no problems with vibrations.




Fig. 4 Schematic showing installation of balcony with cold bridge-breaker such as shown in fig. 1.




Fig. 5 Connection details of balcony with struts or concrete upstand such as shown in fig. 2.




Fig. 6 Balcony combining concrete upstand and strut.

### Annex C – formulas for rebar anchorage length

The empirical formulas are:

For fibre index 1.8:

$$\frac{\tau_u}{\sqrt{f_c}} = 0.5 + 17\phi t + 0.7\frac{c}{d}\sqrt{\frac{d}{L}}$$

Where: τ<sub>u</sub> = shear strength (MPa)

fc = compressive strength of CRC (MPa)

c = cover to reinforcing bar d = diameter of reinforcing bar

L = embedment length of reinforcing bar

 $\phi_t = nA_{st}/dL < 0.1$ 

Ast = cross section area of the reinforcing bar

n = number of transverse bars

### For fibre index 0.6:

$$\frac{\tau_u}{0.7\sqrt{fc}} = 0.5 + 17\phi t + 0.7\frac{c}{d}\sqrt{\frac{d}{L}}$$


If there is a significant deviation from the following parameters, additional testing should be carried out: Rebars from  $\emptyset 6$  to  $\emptyset 25$ 

Ratio of transverse reinforcement from 0 to 0.17

Cover of reinforcement from 10 to 70 mm

Ratio of embedment length to rebar diameter from 1.25 to 5.7

Annex D – Creep and shrinkage Creep, fibre index 1.8 (limited effect of fibre index)



### Shrinkage, fibre index 0.2 (safe side)

Results for autogenous and drying shrinkage:

